



Karmaveer Bhaurao Patil College of Engineering, Satara
Department of Civil Engineering
Academic Year 2021-22 Semester- VIII

Date: 24-06-2022

NOTICE

Course Code	Type of Course	Course Title	Weekly Teaching Scheme			Evaluation Scheme				Credits
			L	T	P	CA	MSE	ESE	Total	
BTCEP803	Project Stage-II	In-house Project or Internship and Project in Industry*	--	--	30	50	--	100	150	15

As per syllabus structure for the course Project Stage – II of B.Tech. class, End Sem Evaluation of 100 marks is needed. Project guides are requested to conduct end semester examination of this course on or before 10th July 2022 for entire 100 marks in association with external examiner. Project guides are requested to follow evaluation rubrics attached herewith for ESE as well as CA and submit the same to undersigned with signature on all three pages.

Head of Civil Department



Karmaveer Bhaurao Patil College of Engineering, Satara
Department of Civil Engineering
Academic Year 2021-22 Semester- VIII

RUBRICS FOR EVALUATION

Review #	Agenda	Assessment	Review Assessment Weightage	Overall Score	
				Group I	Group II
Review 1	Project Stage-II Evaluation by Project Guide and External Examiner	Rubric #R1:	60		
Review 2	Project Report Evaluation by Project Guide and External Examiner	Rubric #R2:	40		
	ESE		100		
	CA		50		
	Total		150		

Head of Civil Department



Karmaveer Bhaurao Patil College of Engineering, Satara
Department of Civil Engineering
Academic Year 2021-22 Semester- VIII

Rubric #R1: Evaluation by Project Guide and External Examiner

Maximum Marks*: 60

		Level of Achievement					
		Excellent (20)	Good (15)	Average (10)	Poor (5)	Group-I Score	Group-I Score
a	Technical Knowledge and relevance of topic	Extensive knowledge related to the project	Fair knowledge related to the project	Adequate knowledge related to the project	Lacks sufficient knowledge		
b	Project	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • All defined objectives are achieved • Each module working well and properly demonstrated • All modules of project are well integrated and system working is accurate 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • All defined objectives are achieved • Each module working well and properly demonstrated • Integration of all modules not done and system working is not very satisfactory 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Some of the defined objectives are achieved • Modules are working well in isolation and properly demonstrated • Modules of project are not properly integrated 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Defined objectives are not achieved 		
c	Presentation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Contents of presentations are appropriate and well delivered • Proper eye contact with audience and clear voice with good spoken language 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Contents of presentations are appropriate and well delivered • Clear voice with good spoken language but less eye contact with audience 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Contents of presentations are not appropriate • Eye contact with few people and unclear voice 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Contents of presentations are not appropriate and not well delivered • Poor delivery of presentation 		
d	Handling Questions	Answered all questions with proper justification	Answered 80% questions	Answered 60% questions	Answered less than 40% question		
				Total Marks Out of 80			
				Total Marks Converted to out of 60			



Karmaveer Bhaurao Patil College of Engineering, Satara
Department of Civil Engineering
Academic Year 2021-22 Semester- VIII

Rubric #R2: Evaluation by Project Guide and External Examiner

Maximum Marks*: 40

Level of Achievement							
		Excellent (20)	Good (15)	Average (10)	Poor (5)	Group-I Score	Group-II Score
a	Project Report	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Project report is according to the specified format • References and citations are appropriate and well mentioned 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Project report is according to the specified format • References and citations are appropriate but not mentioned well 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Project report is according to the specified format but some mistakes • In-sufficient references and citations 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Project report not prepared according to the specified format • References and citations are not appropriate 		
B	Description of Concepts and Technical Details	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Complete explanation of the key concepts • Strong description of the technical requirements of the project 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Complete explanation of the key concepts • In-sufficient description of the technical requirements of the project 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Complete explanation of the key concepts but little relevance to literature • In-sufficient description of the technical requirements of the project 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Inappropriate explanation of the key concepts • Poor description of the technical requirements of the project 		
C	Conclusion and Discussion	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Results are presented in very appropriate manner • Project work is well summarized and concluded • Future extensions in the project are well specified 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Results are presented in good manner • Project work summary and conclusion not very appropriate • Future extensions in the project are specified 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Results presented are not much satisfactory • Project work summary and conclusion not very appropriate • Future extensions in the project are specified 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Results are not presented properly • Project work is not summarized and concluded • Future extensions in the project are not specified 		
Total Marks Out of 60							
Total Marks Converted to out of 40							